Quantitative laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy of potassium for in-situ geochronology on Mars
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A B S T R A C T

Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy is explored for the development of an in-situ K–Ar geochronology instrument for Mars. Potassium concentrations in standard basaltic glasses and equivalent rock samples in their natural form are quantified using the potassium doublet at 766.49 and 769.90 nm. Measurement precision varies from 0.5 to 5.5 (% RSD) over the 3.63% to 0.025% potassium by weight for the standard samples, and little additional precision is achieved above 20 laser shots at 5 locations. For the glass standards, the quantification limits are 920 and 66 ppm for non-weighted and weighted calibration methods, respectively. For the basaltic rocks, the quantification limits are 2650 and 328 ppm for the non-weighted and weighted calibration methods, respectively. The heterogeneity of the rock samples leads to larger variations in potassium signal; however, normalizing the potassium peak by base area at 25 locations on the rock improved calibration accuracy. Including only errors in LIBS measurements, estimated age errors for the glasses range from approximately ±30 Ma for 3000 Ma samples to ±2 Ma for 100 Ma samples. For the basaltic rocks, the age errors are approximately ±120 Ma for 3000 Ma samples and ±8 Ma for 100 Ma samples.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The study of Martian geological history is hindered by the absence of direct absolute age characterization of surface materials of known geographical location. While the relative chronology of Martian surfaces has been accomplished through stratigraphic studies [1], a direct measure of absolute age has not yet been achieved. Instead, indirect estimates of absolute age are extrapolated from lunar cratering densities correlated to age-dated return samples from Apollo and Luna missions [2,3]. Known sources of errors for this approach include secondary cratering formed by impact ejecta and crater resurfacing by erosion and deposition [4,5]. Hartmann estimates a factor of 2–4 uncertainty in age dating when extrapolating from lunar to Martian cratering densities [5]. Additionally, it has been shown that differences in crater counting techniques lead to age determinations that vary between 5% and 20% [6]. Precisely aged Martian meteorites shed light on the planet’s volcanic activity and the existence of old geological material [AIH84001] [7]; yet, they cannot be used to correlate cratering densities because the place of origin of these meteorites is unknown.

Both sample return and in-situ geochronology measurements are being explored to solve this problem, each with associated advantages and disadvantages. While returned samples provide the best option for obtaining accurate age measurements, as the samples will be available for measure by an array of techniques in multiple laboratories, the engineering challenges associated with a robotic launch from the surface of Mars are substantial. Maybe more importantly, the returned sample approach does not allow for iteration between sample selection and corresponding age measurements; thus, if the correct compositional or age range of rocks is not collected to accurately correlate crater densities, a costly second mission would be required. In-situ measurements would allow for this experimental iteration, at the cost of precision and accuracy. The best approach may be to include an in-situ technique in a sample return mission as a sample selection tool. Potassium–Argon dating shows promise as an in-situ technique suitable for Mars [8]. As envisioned, a possible system will consist of two instruments: (1) a heating chamber or laser heating system with a mass spectrometer to measure outgassed argon and (2) a laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) instrument to measure potassium. In our paper, we evaluate LIBS for quantifying potassium abundance.

LIBS is a spectroscopic method where atomic emission from a laser generated plasma is collected to identify and quantify elemental abundances [9]. The Mars Science Laboratory rover, launched in the fall of 2011, includes a LIBS instrument as part of the ChemCam suite [10,11]. The performance of LIBS for elemental quantification of geological materials has been extensively studied under simulated Martian environmental conditions. Knight et al. observed signal enhancements of 3–4 times under Martian atmospheric pressure and composition compared to the atmospheric conditions on earth [12]. This signal enhancement is due to the reduced pressure which improves laser ablation of material from the surface by reducing attenuation of the...
laser energy by plasma shielding [9]. Clegg et al. have explored multivariate spectral analysis methods to reduce matrix effects [11], and accuracies on the order of ±10% have been achieved for most elements [13]. Dell’Aglio et al. performed LIBS measurements on six Martian, lunar, and other meteorites [14] to identify and quantify elements for geological classification. For major elements with weight concentrations above 6%, prediction errors ranged from approximately 0.5% to 15%. For minor elements, prediction errors were as high as 60%. Thompson et al. analyzed two Martian basaltic shergottite meteorites (DaG 476 and Zagami) by LIBS and achieved oxide weight percent differences from literature values from 4% to 79% [15]. Again, higher concentrated elements were better predicted than elements of lower concentration.

Colao et al. studied the feasibility of LIBS as an in-situ instrument by measuring NIST standard reference soils and Martian rock analogues [16]. Quantifying potassium in NIST standard reference soils pressed into pellets, they achieved accuracies of prediction between 12% and 30%. The predicted concentrations were consistently lower than known concentrations, which the authors attribute to signal saturation and self-absorption. Measuring potassium in silicate materials, LIBS predicted concentrations were approximately 40% lower than those measured by EDX. In their study, eleven elements were measured simultaneously, making it difficult to optimize experimental parameters for any one specific element. In our study, we focus solely on measuring potassium by LIBS in an effort to develop an in-situ K–Ar geochronology instrument.

Currently, only a small amount of preliminary work exists in the literature on the development of K–Ar dating using LIBS to quantify potassium. Swindle et al. [17] provide a LIBS calibration curve for instrument.

where $\frac{40}{39}$K is small (near the detection limit of LIBS) compared to the total potassium concentration, which the authors attribute to signal saturation and self-absorption. Measuring potassium in silicate materials, LIBS predicted concentrations were approximately 40% lower than those measured by EDX. In their study, eleven elements were measured simultaneously, making it difficult to optimize experimental parameters for any one specific element. In our study, we focus solely on measuring potassium by LIBS in an effort to develop an in-situ K–Ar geochronology instrument.

The three naturally occurring potassium isotopes of $^{39}$K (93.2851%), $^{39}$K (0.0117%), and $^{41}$K (6.7302%) are simultaneously detected by LIBS due to the physical broadening of the emission peaks and the limited resolving power of common LIBS spectrometers. Potassium-40 is radiogenic and decays with a half-life of 1.248×10^9 years, while $^{38}$K and $^{41}$K are stable. The equation for determining time since crystallization in terms of the initial and final $^{40}$K abundances is:

$$t = \frac{t_{1/2}}{\ln(2)} \ln \left( \frac{[40K]_f}{[40K]_i} \right)$$

(1)

where $t$ is the elapsed time since crystallization, $t_{1/2}$ is the half-life of $^{40}$K, $[40K]_i$ is the original $^{40}$K abundance, and $[40K]_f$ is the final $^{40}$K abundance. This standard form of the elapsed-time equation is modified in two ways. First, a substitution is made because the original concentration of $^{40}$K cannot be directly measured. Accounting for the 10.48% of $^{40}$K decay events that result in $^{40}$Ar, the substitution becomes:

$$[40$Ar]_f = 0.1048 \left( [40K]_i - [40K]_f \right)$$

(2)

Second, Eq. (1) must reflect that all isotopes of potassium will be measured simultaneously. Assuming that the concentrations of the stable isotopes do not change with time and that the change in the $^{40}$K is small (near the detection limit of LIBS) compared to the total LIBS potassium signal, the final elapsed-time equation becomes:

$$t = \frac{t_{1/2}}{\ln(2)} \ln \left( \frac{[40$Ar]_f}{0.1048 \times 10^{-4} \times [40K] + [41K]_f + 1} \right)$$

(3)

where $[40$Ar]_f$ is the final abundance of $^{40}$Ar and the $^{39}$K + $^{40}$K + $^{41}$K is the total potassium abundances measured by LIBS. Argon-40 will be measured by sample heating and mass spectrometry. Technical challenges with correcting for excess $^{40}$Ar will be addressed in a later publication describing the mass spectrometer measurements.

In this study, we investigate the efficacy of LIBS for quantifying potassium in standard basaltic glass and natural basaltic rock samples. Experimental parameters are explored to understand their influence on LIBS potassium signal. Potassium calibration curves are generated to determine measurement accuracy and resulting errors in age quantification.

2. Experimental

Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy measurements were performed with a Photon Machines Insight™ LIBS system. A representative schematic of the experimental apparatus was published previously [19].

Experimental parameters including spectrometer delay, laser pulse energy, and laser spot size strongly influence the potassium signal. The potassium doubling, shown in Fig. 1, emits fluorescence at 766.49 nm ($^2P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$) and 769.90 nm ($^2P_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$). The upper and lower energy levels for these transitions are given in Table 2. Both transitions

3. Samples

Five USGS standard basaltic glass samples (BIR-1G, BHVO-2G, BCR-2G, NKT-1G, and TB-1G) were used in this study (see Table 1). Of these five samples, four of the rocks in their natural form were also acquired and measured (BIR-1, BHVO-2, BCR-2, NKT-1), and the potassium concentration of these samples were determined by whole rock analysis (Florian Analytical Services, Reno, NV, USA). To conserve the more expensive standard basaltic glasses, LIBS signal optimization studies were performed on a sample of obsidian (Glass Buttes, OR), containing approximately 3% potassium by weight.

4. Results and Discussion

Experimental parameters including spectrometer delay, laser pulse energy, and laser spot size strongly influence the potassium signal. The potassium doubling, shown in Fig. 1, emits fluorescence at 766.49 nm ($^2P_{3/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$) and 769.90 nm ($^2P_{1/2} \rightarrow ^2S_{1/2}$). The upper and lower energy levels for these transitions are given in Table 2. Both transitions

Table 1: Elemental compositions of basaltic glass standards and equivalent basaltic rocks. Concentrations are in weight %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Basaltic glass standards</th>
<th>Basaltic rocks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BIR-1G</td>
<td>BHVO-2G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>8.20</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>9.51</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>22.39</td>
<td>23.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
terminate in the ground state. For all optimization measurements, the potassium signal was obtained by area integration of both peaks with baseline subtraction.

4.1. Spectrometer delay

The acquisition of light by the echelle spectrometer and iCCD camera is delayed in time from the laser emission. Delaying the initiation of the acquisition protects the camera from saturation by intense scattered laser light and allows the continuum plasma emission to decay, improving signal to noise [20]. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between potassium signal and spectrometer delay using a 1 μs gate, 500 μm laser spot, and 35 mJ pulse energy. The signals are averages of 50 shots in the same location acquired after 5 cleaning shots. Error bars are one standard deviation over the 50 shots. The upper energy levels for the potassium doublet are 1.617 and 1.610 eV for the 766.45 and 769.90 nm peaks, respectively. For both peaks, emission occurs to the ground state (0 eV) and emission is strongest early in the plasma lifetime. A delay time of 1.5 μs was chosen for all measurements described below.

4.2. Laser pulse energy

The potassium signal dependence on laser pulse energy is shown in Fig. 3. Pulse energy was varied from 7 mJ to 35 mJ at with a laser spot size of 500 μm, corresponding to power densities from 0.5 to 2.5 GW/cm², respectively. Below 0.5 GW/cm², no plasma formation or potassium signal was observed. The highest potassium signal occurred at 35 mJ, which was the maximum energy achievable with the laser. The maximum signal likely occurs above 35 mJ; however, it can be seen that the rise in potassium signal begins to fall off at 30 mJ, caused by plasma shielding of the laser [9].

4.3. Laser spot size

Fig. 4 shows the potassium signal dependence on laser spot size at a pulse energy of 35 mJ. Major fracturing of the obsidian sample, and erratic LIBS signals, occurred at and below a spot size of 150 μm (~28 GW/cm²). From 200 to 500 μm, the potassium signal increases with spot size, while the signal decreases above 500 μm.

4.4. Precision

Relative standard deviations (RSD) for the obsidian and five standard USGS basaltic glasses are shown in Fig. 5. The RSDs are the standard deviation of five sets of single-shot to 50-shot averages divided by the mean signal of the five sets of averages. For all samples, the RSDs decrease as the number of shots averaged increases. In general, the RSD values have an inverse dependence on the potassium concentration in the sample. The one exception to this trend occurs for BHVO-2G, which has a higher final RSD than BIR-1G. The RSDs for five sets of 50 shots ranged from 5.3% to 0.4% for BHVO-2G to TB-1G. It should be noted, when considering energy conservation on an in-situ extraterrestrial instrument, that little additional improvement in precision is attained above 20 laser shots.

4.5. Calibrations

To establish the effectiveness of LIBS as a tool for age dating geological materials, the measurement accuracy of potassium abundance was quantified. For these experiments, a laser pulse energy of 35 mJ, a spot size of 500 μm, and a spectrometer delay and gate of 1.5 μs and 3 μs, respectively.

![Fig. 1. Representative spectra for five USGS standard basaltic glasses. Spectra were generated with 35 mJ pulses, 500 μm laser spots, and a delay and gate of 1.5 μs and 3 μs, respectively.](image)

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Wavelength (nm)</th>
<th>E₁ (eV)</th>
<th>E₂ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>766.49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.617</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>769.90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.610</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2. Dependence of potassium signal on spectrometer delay. All data points are averages of 50 shots at one location using a spectrometer gate of 1 μs. Error bars are 1σ over the 50 shots.](image)
3 μs, respectively, were used. The five USGS standard samples were measured with 5 cleaning shots and 50 analytical shots at each of the 5 locations. As in the signal optimization experiments described above, the potassium signal of the USGS standards was calculated as the integrated area of the potassium doublet with baseline subtraction. For the rock samples, the signal was calculated as the integrated area of the potassium doublet normalized by the base area of the doublet. The base area of the peak consists of the area below the lower and upper wavelength limits used for the potassium peak area integration. Normalization, in this way, is expected to reduce shot-to-shot variations caused by laser energy variation and matrix effects due to the heterogeneity of the rock samples.

### 4.5.1. USGS standard basaltic glasses

The calibration curve of LIBS potassium signal and potassium concentration is given in Fig. 6. Potassium concentrations for the standard glasses were determined by multiple laboratories (using LA-ICP-MS, electron probe micro-analysis, ion probe, and other techniques) and compiled by the USGS [21,22]. Each LIBS data point in Fig. 6 is the average of five measurements locations, where each location was measured 50 times. The dashed lines are the boundaries of the 95% prediction bands for a non-weighted linear regression [23]. The upper electronic states of the potassium doublet undergo radiative decay to the ground state, which makes these transitions susceptible to saturation caused by self-absorption. In these experiments, measuring samples with 0.025% to 3.6% potassium by weight, the linear calibration curve suggests that self-absorption was negligible.

Predicted potassium concentrations by LIBS using non-weighted and weighted linear regressions are provided in Table 3. Each prediction is accompanied by an uncertainty range determined by the horizontal bounds of the upper and lower 95% prediction band hyperbolae. Calculations of the linear regression models and prediction bands were performed following the work by Mermet [23]. The non-weighted linear regression is the commonly applied least-squares method where a straight line is fit to the data through minimizing the sum of squares of the errors. One
important assumption made when applying the least-squares method is that the standard deviation of the difference between the measured point and the curve-fitted line, in the vertical direction, is constant over the range of concentrations measured. This is generally not the case for LIBS analysis, and it was not the case in our study. To improve predictive capabilities, especially for low concentration samples, a weighting regression procedure can be used. Here, our weighting factor was the inverse of the signal intensity squared \((1/y^2)\). This weighting factor reduces the errors for the low concentration samples at the expense of the higher concentration samples, as is shown in Table 3.

The limit of detection (LOD) and, more importantly, the limit of quantification (LOQ) provide important guidelines to constrain the sets of measurable igneous rocks that can be age dated with this technique. In lieu of the more common definitions of the LOD and LOQ \((LOD = \frac{L}\sigma_\varepsilon)\) and \((LOQ = \frac{L}{\sigma_\varepsilon})\) \([24]\), an alternative approach will be used \([25]\). The LOD is found by drawing a horizontal line from the upper prediction band y-intercept point to the calibration curve. The corresponding concentration at the point on the calibration curve is the lowest concentration that can be predicted above zero 95% of the time. The LOQ is then found as the corresponding concentration where this horizontal line intersects the lower prediction band. Following this approach and using the non-weighted calibration, the LOD and LOQ for the standard glasses are 471 and 920 ppm, respectively. For the weighted calibration, the LOD and LOQ are 169 and 328 ppm, respectively.

### 4.5.2. Basaltic rocks

Of the five standard glass samples, four equivalent rocks in their natural form where acquired and measured (BIR-1, BHVO-2, NKT-1, and BCR-2). A rock sample of TB-1 was not located for testing. In an effort to capture the heterogeneous nature of the rock samples, 25 locations were measured on each sample with 5 cleaning and 50 analytical shots. Recent work by Anderson et al. shows that to achieve a measurement result within one standard deviation of the actual bulk composition requires measurements at 10 to 20 locations for fine and coarse grained rocks, respectively \([26]\).

The rock samples, in our study, showed larger potassium signal variation than the standard glass samples, likely due to variations in the optical and material properties of the heterogeneous rocks. To normalize the shot-to-shot variations in the material removal, plasma formation, and resulting atomic emission, the area integrated potassium doublet was divided by the base area of the peak \((P/B)\) \([9]\). Fig. 7 shows the non-weighted calibration relationship between the LIBS potassium signals \((P/B)\) and the potassium concentrations and the corresponding 95% prediction bands. Each data point is the average signal measured across 25 locations. Table 4 shows the LIBS predicted concentrations using the non-weighted and weighted calibration approaches. For the non-weighted model, the LOD and LOQ are

### Table 3

Reference and predicted potassium concentrations for basaltic glass standards using non-weighted and weighted linear regressions. Ranges are 95% prediction bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Non-weighted calibration</th>
<th>Weighted calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIR-1G</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHVO-2G</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKT-1G</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>1.081</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-2G</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>1.502</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB-1G</td>
<td>3.628</td>
<td>3.617</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Linear regression weighed by \(1/(Y^2)\), where \(Y\) = signal intensity.

### 4.6. Age dating by LIBS

To fully quantify the error in age determination, errors from the LIBS measurements of potassium, the mass spectrometer measurements of \(^{40}\text{Ar}\), and the contribution and correction scheme for excess \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) must be considered. Here, we only estimate the error in age prediction as a result of LIBS inaccuracies. A more complete performance evaluation will be conducted in the future once both instruments are operational.

A summary of the estimated errors in age determination is given in Table 5 for both the glass standards and the basaltic rocks using the non-weighted and weighted calibration methods. Errors are estimated assuming samples ages ranging from 3000 Ma to 100 Ma. To estimate the errors, the \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) concentration is calculated using Eq. (3) by inputting the reference (\(e.g., \)known) concentration of potassium for each sample and an assumed age. This calculation is performed separately on all samples in the glass and rock sets. Next, a linear regression of a plot of measured potassium concentrations and calculated \(^{40}\text{Ar}\) concentrations is used to determine the slope of the isochron. A 95% confidence interval on the slope is found using the standard error in the slope and the critical \(t\) value.

For the glass standards, the estimated age errors range from approximately \(\pm 30\) Ma for 3000 Ma samples to \(\pm 2\) Ma for 100 Ma samples.

### Table 4

Reference and predicted potassium concentrations for basaltic rocks using non-weighted and weighted linear regressions. Ranges are 95% prediction bands.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Non-weighted calibration</th>
<th>Weighted calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
<td>(wt.%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIR-1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>−0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BHVO-2</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>0.506</td>
<td>−0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NKT-1</td>
<td>1.278</td>
<td>1.314</td>
<td>−0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-2</td>
<td>1.642</td>
<td>1.615</td>
<td>−0.118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Linear regression weighed by \(1/(Y^2)\), where \(Y\) = signal intensity.

![Fig. 7. Calibration of potassium peak/base signal and potassium concentrations for four basaltic rock samples. Each plotted point is an average of 5 replicate measurements, where each measurement is a set of 50 shots at one location. Dashed lines are 95% prediction bands of the non-weighted linear regression.](image-url)
Earlier, we showed that the weighted calibration improves the accuracy of predicted potassium concentration, especially for the lowest concentration sample, BIR-1G. Yet, here, there is no measurable improvement in the age errors because a small absolute change in the predicted potassium concentration for BIR-1G has little effect on the isochron slope. For the basaltic rocks, the age errors range from approximately ±120 Ma for 3000 Ma samples and ±8 Ma for 100 Ma samples. These uncertainties are acceptable for improving the current understanding of Martian geological history; however, it is clear that higher errors are expected on inaccuracies in mass spectrometer readings, uncertainties of excess 40Ar, and generally poorer performance of flight-ready instrument components are included.

5. Conclusions

The efficacy of LIBS as a method for quantifying potassium concentrations in standard basaltic glasses and basaltic rock samples was explored to assess the potential of an in-situ geochronology instrument for Mars. These initial results suggest that LIBS is capable of quantifying potassium concentration with sufficient precision, accuracy, and dynamic range to drastically improve current Martian surface ages. Using Bogard’s recent numerical modeling work on simulated K–Ar isotopes under assumed instrumentation precisions for potassium measurements, the expected usefulness of our instrument can be placed in context [27]. Bogard states that, “to achieve K–Ar isochron ages on Mars to a reliability of a few tens of percent, a suite of approximately 10 samples must vary in total K concentration by a factor of two or greater, if analytical measurement precision of K and Ar are ±10%.” In our study, we accurately quantified samples that vary in potassium concentration by over a factor of eight with instrumentation precisions from 0.4 to 5.3%, meeting Bogard’s criteria. Current age-dating uncertainties associated with crater counting are estimated to be roughly a factor of 2 to 4 [5]. Considering only errors in potassium measurements by LIBS, estimated age errors for the glasses range from approximately ±30 Ma for 3000 Ma samples to ±2 Ma for 100 Ma samples. For the basaltic rocks, the age errors are approximately ±120 Ma for 3000 Ma samples and ±8 Ma for 100 Ma samples. Future studies will focus on determining the error associated with argon measurements by mass spectrometry and developing a LIBS measurement system using “flight ready” system components that can handle the harsh Martian environment. In addition, a much larger set of samples having a larger variation of chemical matrix will be studied, which may require exploring multi-variate data analysis approaches to account for matrix effects.
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