Planck systematics





KISS "Designing future CMB experiments" March 2018

Polarisation measurement

we need different angles to measure I,Q,U $m_t = I(\vec{n}) + \rho \left[Q(\vec{n}).cos(2\psi) + U(\vec{n}).sin(2\psi) \right]$

Planck scanning strategy is such that we have

- one orientation of the focal plane / sky pixel / survey
- 2 pairs of identical surveys
 10 to 12 detectors per frequency
 Sun

DIES M. Tristram



pair- and time- differencing we combine detectors at 90deg at different time of observation

- need to have very precise inter-calibration to avoid I,Q,U mixing
- Intensity signal is ~ 100 to 1000 times larger than polarisation

PLANCK time-domain systematics

[Planck 2015 results. VIII]

detector time response (very long time constants)

- seen at map level but not on Jupiter nor glitches
 - -> use empirical model
- impact glitch removal
- ADC non linearity

• 4K cooler pick-up

- electromagnetic and microphonic interference from the 4K-cooler reaches the readout boxes and wires in the warm service module of the spacecraft and appears in the Planck data as a set of very narrow lines at multiples of 10Hz and at 17Hz
- correlated with ADC non-linearity



Time response deconvolution Jupiter crossing

Brendan Crill, B-mode from Space workshop, Dec 14, 2015



Time response function is modeled in Fourier space as a sum of 5-8 lowpass filters time constants vary from 1ms – 1.5s +electronics transfer function



 $F(\omega) = \sum_{i=1.5} \frac{a_i}{1 + i\omega\tau_i}.$

PLANCK map-making systematics

[Planck 2015 results. VIII]

before projection of several detectors on maps, need to remove

- instrumental systematic effects
 - 1/f noise
 - ADC non-linearity corrections
 - gain coefficients (absolute and possibly time-dependent)
 - time constant residuals

sky signal not constant in time

- zodiacal light
- orbital dipole (reference for calibration)
- Far SideLobes

sky signal not common to all detectors within a channel

- foreground emissions mismatch due to different bandpass
- Far SideLobes



PLANCK map-making

• we include templates of systematics in the map-making

[Planck 2015 results. VIII]

$$d_{t} = g\left(\mathbf{A}S + \sum_{i} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{(i)}T^{(i)}\right) + n_{t}$$
$$= g\left[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{\Gamma}\right] \begin{bmatrix} S \\ T \end{bmatrix} + n_{t}$$

• different domains:

- time domain (t) / ring domain (r) / pixel domain ($_{P}$)

• In practice:

$$d_t = \mathbf{g_r} \left(\mathbf{I_p} + \rho \mathbf{Q_p} \cos 2\phi_t + \rho \mathbf{U_p} \sin 2\phi_t + D_t + \sum \mathbf{f_i} T_p^{(fg)_i} + \sum \mathbf{c_i} T_t^{(TF)_i} \right) + \mathbf{o_r} + n_t$$

g gains non-linear system → gain linearization I,Q,U sky signal

- f bandpass mismatch coefficients
- c transfer function residual coefficients
- o 1/f offsets



PLANCK polarization systematics at low-{

Instrumental systematics

- 1/f noise residuals
- glitches (increase the 1/f)
- inter-calibration leakage
- time-constant residuals
- ADC non-linearity residuals

Foreground systematics

- cleaning residuals

• Need for simulations !

PLANCK 100 E2E simulations

- **no bias** on 100x143

SPACE STUDIES M. Tristram

- error budget extended by a factor
 - **1.5 due to systematics** uncertainties



those residuals are in $\ell^{-\alpha}$



but not directly correlated between frequencies



reionization optical depth (history)

[Planck intermediate results. XLVII (2016)]

From CMB data:



1. WMAP 9yr • $\tau = 0.089 \pm 0.014$ 2. Planck 2013 • $\tau = 0.089 \pm 0.014$ (TT with WMAP Polar) • $\tau = 0.075 \pm 0.013$ (TT with WP&Planck dust) 3. Planck 2015 • $\tau = 0.078 \pm 0.019 (TT + IowP)$ • $\tau = 0.066 \pm 0.016$ (TT + lowP + lensing) • $\tau = 0.067 \pm 0.016$ (TT + lensing + BAO) 4. Planck HFI EE low-{

•
$$\tau = 0.058 \pm 0.012 (TT + IowHFI)$$

(lollipop)

 $\tau = 0.058 \pm 0.012 \left({}^{\pm 0.009 \text{ (stat)}}_{\pm 0.003 \text{ (sys)}} \right)$

PLANCK major systematics at high-{

Instrumental systematics

- beam leakage due to beam mismatch
- calibration uncertainty
- cosmic rays inducing correlated noise

Foregrounds systematics

- impact of free parameters in likelihood
- impact of the choice of the foreground modeling

• Need for simulations !

- hard to perform because lack of knowledge on the physical processes of the foregrounds
- PLANCK made extensive use of jack-knives
- comparison with different foreground modelings or different data set (TE)



likelihood TE: temperature-polarisation



Cosmology with the CMB (& systematics)

major Planck systematic residuals

- ADC non-linearity (affecting inter-calibration and inducing E-B leakage)
- residual long-time constant
- unidentified cross-correlation noise affecting TE auto-power spectra

the major consequence is that systematics are becoming no longer negligible in the error budget

- as a consequence, we need massive Monte Carlo to
 - check for biases
 - propagate properly the uncertainties
- this has been underestimated in PLANCK

This is difficult

- because of the lack of current knowledge on the Galactic emissions (emission law with frequency are not measured with enough accuracy and difficult to simulate)
- massive amount of data available

• This can make some differences especially for extension on ΛCDM models

