# JPL ISM STP Study Summary

Nitin Arora, Jonathan Murphy, Leon Alkalai, Jonathan Sauder and JPL Team-X Study Team

Detailed Team-X report can also be provided if needed

# High-level Team-X Mission Requirements

Mission class = B, for comparison with 2014 study (probably should be A)

Technology cutoff = (future, including assumed technology development)

**Redundancy = Dual Cold** 

Cost = (no explicit target)

**Spares = Selected spares** 

Mission/system level requirements: Send probe to Interstellar Medium at 20 AU/yr

## Summary

Ę

### Probe to the Interstellar Medium (ISM)

- Probe contains instruments to study ISM
- Also has a camera for imagery of a Kuiper Belt Object (KBO)
- The probe is a simple, New Horizons-class spacecraft

### Objective is to leave the Solar System at 20 AU/yr (95 km/s)

## The "need for speed" motivates an extreme and unusual mission design

- Pass very close to the sun (3 solar radii from center)
- Need a large Sun Shield to protect the spacecraft
- At perihelion, we execute a very large burn (11.2 km/s) using a Solar Thermal Propulsion (**STP**) system



# **Mission Scenario**

- **Trajectory**:
  - Earth-Venus-Venus-Earth-Jupiter-Perihelion-KBO-ISM
- Perihelion kick sequence:
  - Reach distance of 3 solar radii
  - Drop SMRTGs from STP stage
  - STP burn to 11.2 km/s ∆V
  - Separate probe from STP stage at ~1 AU
- KBO flyby @ ~50 AU
- × 20-year total mission duration
   → ~250 AU





# Mission Design Delta-V Budget

STP DV = 11.2 km/s

Probe DV =  $\sim$ 94 m/s

Nomina	al Mission Plan	DV	Comments					
Event	Description	(m/s)	Comments					
1	TCM-1	20.0	3-sigma injection					
2	TCM-2	5.0	nondeterministic					
3	TCM-3	5.0	nondeterministic					
4	VGA-1							
5	TCM-4	5.0	nondeterministic					
6	TCM-5	5.0	nondeterministic					
7	VGA-2							
8	TCM-6	5.0	nondeterministic					
9	TCM-7	5.0	nondeterministic					
10	EGA							
11	TCM-8	5.0	nondeterministic					
12	TCM-9	5.0	nondeterministic					
13	JGA							
14	TCM-10	5.0	nondeterministic					
15	TCM-11	5.0	nondeterministic					
16	Perihelion DV	11200.0	STP system					
17	TCM-12	30.0	KBO targeting					
18	TCM-13	5.0	KBO targeting					
19	TCM-14	5.0	KBO targeting					
20	Makemake Flyby							

## **Mission Power Modes**

**Pre-Separation** 

•

٠



	тсм											
	Post-Separation Cruise (power positive with deep space science + recharge for one hour)											
		Stack I	ast Slew + Perihelior	n Kick + Pre-Separatio	on Cruise							
			Fly-by	imaging								
тсм	Cruis	se Jupiter	Stack Fast Slew	Perihelion Kick	Fly-by Imaging	Recharge	Post-Separation					
0.25 hou	urs I	ly-by	0.2 hours	1.2 hours	3 hours	3 hours	Cruise					
<ul> <li>Star tracker</li> <li>and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> </ul>	24 hours       Star trackers       and IMUs       CDH       and IMUs		<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>Imaging</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom stand- by</li> </ul>	24 hours <ul> <li>Star trackers <ul> <li>and IMUs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					

<b>Cruise</b> 24 hours	0.25 hours	Fly-by 24 hours	0.2 hours	1.2 hours	3 hours	3 hours	<b>Cruise</b> 24 hours
Star trackers CDH Telecom duty cycled 10% Thermal control with shield + thruster heating	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>RCS</li> <li>Telecom</li> <li>Thermal control with shield</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom duty cycled 10%</li> <li>Thermal control with shield</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom</li> <li>Thermal control with shield</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom</li> <li>Thermal control with shield + thruster heating</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>Imaging</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>RCS</li> <li>Telecom</li> <li>Thermal control + thruster heating</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom stand- by</li> <li>Thermal control + thruster heating</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Star trackers and IMUs</li> <li>CDH</li> <li>Telecom duty cycled 10%</li> <li>Thermal control + thruster heating</li> </ul>

## **Mission Architecture Summary**



### Interstellar Medium Probe (ISM Probe)

- A small "New Horizons Class" spacecraft for probing the Interstellar Medium (ISM), with a KBO flyby for good measure
- Carries 8 instruments

### Solar Thermal Propulsion (STP) Stage

- Uses a Solar Thermal Propulsion (STP) system to provide 11.2 km/s of ΔV at Perhelion ("Perihelion Burn") to eject the Probe from the Solar System *really fast*
- Has a huge Liquid Hydrogen (LH2) tank. LH2 has low density but allows for very high lsp (1350s)
- Carries Sun Shield (see next slide)
- The Interstellar Medium Probe rides "on top" of the STP stage during the Perihelion burn



# Sun Shield + Heat Exchanger Summary

The STP stage carries a huge folded "Sun Shield" to protect the whole stack at Perihelion (3 solar radii)

- Sun Shield also contains an integrated heat exchanger, to power the Solar Thermal Rocket
- The Sun Shield is larger than the rest of the stack when un-folded, so it must be "double folded" along two axes and four fold lines
- It must also be deployed to get it 2 m away from the LH2 tank for thermal reasons
- An additional set of actuations are needed for the Sun Shield to open like a book (not shown, see "Notes about the Sun Shield" slide)



# Sun Shield Design 1

- **×** The Sun Shield is a significant design challenge
  - We arrived at a design that seems promising, but needs further work
  - Note that the shield has to be significantly larger than the area it protects, because at 3 solar radii the Sun is a large disk rather than a point source.
  - The shield folds in two dimensions, along four fold lines (see diagram (f) at right)
  - The center panel has an integrated heat exchanger for the STP engine (red lines in diagrams)
  - The shield must pack close to the tank for launch, but to keep the tank cool it must deploy to 2 m away (diagram (e), below)
    - Which means flowing hot hydrogen through an articulation
  - To keep the inner shield cool enough (to not overheat the tank), we needed a three-layered shield, so the layers have to open (like pages of a book, green layers in diagrams). The inner shield has the heat exchanger, as shown in diagram (b).
  - Prior to the STP burn, we assume that "the book closes" so the heat exchanger can get hot through conduction through the shield layers.
    - An alternative is to put the heat exchanger on the outer panel, diagram (c)
    - Another alternative is to jettison the outer panels, and allow higher S/C temps after burn



- Much work remains on the configuration and design of the Solar Thermal Propulsion system
  - Design of the heat exchanger, high-temperature H2 plumbing, and full thermal analysis
  - This study assumes a (probably very difficult) actuated heat exchanger with flexible Hydrogen pipes; but there may be a way to fit with a fixed heat exchanger, especially if we can use a 10 m fairing
  - Can a flat plate Carbon-Carbon solar shield actually survive at 3 solar Radii?
  - Can we actually get our heat exchanger to 3400 K ? (see Thermal report)



(e)

# Sun Shield Design 2

The Sun Shield is not modeled explicitly, but simply left as a mass allocation

- **The STP stage propulsion, structures, etc. are sized to the Launch Vehicle allocation**
- After all subsystems are sized, any remaining mass allocation is allocated to the Sun Shield
  - → 1,866 kg
- Based on the geometry of the flight stack, we can calculate how much area of Sun Shield is required (see details in additional notes at end of Systems report)
  - $\rightarrow$  (10.3 m width x 18.7 m length) = 193 m<sup>2</sup>
- We calculate an allocation per area
  - → 9.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
  - Comparisons:
    - 2001 Thiokol paper: 3.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (un-margined)
    - 2014 Team X ISM study: 8.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup> side shield, 22.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> conical nose shield (un-margined)
    - Richard Otero's models (from 2014): 14.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> side shield, 148 kg/m<sup>2</sup> conical nose shield (un-margined)
- This mass includes:
  - Three layers of heat shield
  - An integrated heat exchanger
  - All Hydrogen plumbing from the tank to the heat exchanger and back to the engines
  - Mechanism for un-folding, along two dimensions and four fold lines (see diagram f at right)
  - Mechanism for deploying the entire Sun Shield out to 2 m from the LH2 tank (diagram e)





(e)



# NIAC (via Jonathan)

- 1.67 solar radii to the center of the Sun with a flat shield !
  - Our design is at 3 Solar Radii

1.4 solar radii to the center of the sun with a curved shield



Probe:

# Design Summary

× ACS

- Sun Sensors, IMUs, Star Trackers
  - No reaction wheels
- Mass: 33.8 kg
- CDS
  - 3U form factor of JPL Reference Bus
  - Mass: 14.9 kg
- ▼ Power
  - 1 SMRTG with 16 GPHS modules (558 W beginning of mission)
  - 22-Ah Li-ION battery
  - Mass: 99.2 kg

## Propulsion

- Monoprop system for post-separation control and TCMs
- 16 0.2-lbf thrusters
- N2H4 Fuel Mass = 22.4 kg
- Prop System Mass = 17.2 kg

## × Structures

- Primary Structure Mass = 63.7 kg
- Secondary Structure Mass = 7.6 kg
- Mechanisms
  - STP separation interface = 13.0 kg

## Telecom

- Direct-to-Earth Communication
- 1.5-m fixed X-band HGA on spin axis
- Two X-band LGA
- Mass = 29.6 kg
- × Thermal
  - Passive and active thermal control
  - Mass = 30.1 kg

## ▼ Instruments

- Deep space science suite
- LORRI/MER camera for fly-by imaging of KBO
- Mass= 42.4 kg

## Ground Systems

- Ground Network = DSN
- 1 pass per week at 0.2 kb/s at 100 AU

## **Probe: Mass and Power Summary**

		Mass Fraction	<u>Mass</u> <u>(kg)</u>	Subsys <u>Cont.</u> <u>%</u>	CBE+ <u>Cont.</u> (kg)	Mode 1 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> Pre- Separation	Mode 2 <u>Power</u> ( <u>W)</u> TCM	Mode 3 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> Stack Fast Slew	Mode 4 <u>Power</u> ( <u>W)</u> Perihelion Kick	Mode 5 <u>Power</u> (W) Cruise Jupiter	Mode 6 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> Fly-by imaging	Mode 7 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> Probe Downlink	Mode 8 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> Recharge	Mode 9 <u>Power</u> ( <u>W)</u> Post- Separation	Mode 10 <u>Power</u> <u>(W)</u> TBD
						Cruise	0.05			Flyby				Cruise	
Power Mode Duration (nours)						24	0.25	0.2	0.5	24	3	2	ð	24	
Payload on this Element		400/	05.7	400/	40.4						10			0	
nstruments		10%	35.7	19%	42.4	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0
Payload Total		10%	35.7	19%	42.4	U	U	U	U	0	19	U	U	U	0
dditional Elements Carried by this Element															
Carried Elements Total		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RSDO Option>															
Spacecraft Bus				do not edit fo	ormulas below t	his line, use the	calcualtions	s and override	e tables inste	ad>					
Attitude Control		9%	30.7	10%	33.8	10	53	53	53	53	53	53	0	53	0
Command & Data		4%	13.0	15%	14.9	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	38	0
Power		23%	80.6	23%	99.2	29	24	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	0
Propulsion1 🗆 SEP1		4%	15.7	9%	17.2	1	64	1	1	1	64	32	1	1	0
Propulsion2 SEP2		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Propulsion3 🗆 SEP3		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Structures & Mechanisms		27%	96.6	30%	125.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S/C-Side Adapter		0%	0.0	0%	0.0										
Cabling		9%	31.5	30%	40.9										
Telecom		7%	25.8	15%	29.6	24	125	125	125	24	12	125	12	24	0
Thermal		7%	25.1	20%	30.1	18	10	10	0	18	28	28	28	28	20
Bus Total			318.9	23%	391.2	120	314	254	244	161	222	304	106	171	20
Thermally Controlled Mass					391.2										
Spacecraft Total (Dry): CBE & MEV			354.5	22%	433.6	120	314	254	244	161	241	304	106	171	20
Subsystem Heritage Contingency	22%		79.0	SEP Cont	10%	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
System Contingency	21%		73.4			51	135	109	105	69	104	131	46	73	8
Total Contingency Include Carried?	43%		152.5												
Spacecraft with Contingency:			507	of total	w/o addl pld	171	449	363	349	230	345	434	152	244	28
Propellant & Pressurant with residuals1		4%	22.4	F	or S/C mass =	550.0	D	elta-V, Sys 1	20.0	m/s	residuals =	0.5	kg		
Propellant & Pressurant with residuals2		0%	0.0	F	For S/C mass =	0.0	D	elta-V, Sys 2	0.0	m/s	residuals =	0.0	kg		
Propellant & Pressurant with residuals3		0%	0.0	F	For S/C mass =	0.0	D	elta-V, Sys 3	0.0	m/s	residuals =	0.0	kg		
Spacecraft Total with Contingency (We	t)		529												

STP Stage: Design Summary

- × ACS
  - (No hardware)
- × CDS
  - Control box for the deployments and SMRTG release
  - Mass: 28.1 kg
- × Power
  - 5 SMRTGs with 16 GPHS modules each (2130 W at perihelion, ejected prior to burn)
  - 22-Ah Li-ION battery
  - Mass: 339.2 kg
- Propulsion
  - Biprop system for TCMs and ACS
    - Single 200-lbf main engine
    - 16 5-lbf thrusters
    - N2H4 Fuel Mass = 668.5kg
    - Biprop System Mass = 114.6 kg
  - Solar Thermal Propulsion System for Perihelion burn
    - 12 STP Engines
    - LH2 tank (assumed 0.39 mass tax on LH2)
    - LH2 propellant mass = 15,705 kg
    - STP System Mass = 4,758 kg

### Structures

- Structure Mass = 372 kg
- SMRTG ejection mechanisms = 39 kg
- Balance/Ballast = 630 kg
- S/C side adapter = 165 kg
- Harness = 390 kg
- × Telecom
  - X-Band Patches for comm when HGA is obscured
  - Mass = 0.5 kg
- × Thermal
  - Cryocoolers and Tank insulation are assumed to be included in the LH2 tank mass
  - Mass = 0 kg bookkept

## Instruments

• (none)

STP Stage: Mass and Power Summary

		Mass Fraction	<u>Mass</u> (kg)	Subsys <u>Cont.</u> <u>%</u>	<u>Cont.</u> (kg)	Power (W) Pre- Separatio n Cruise	Mode 2 Power (W) TCM	<u>Power</u> (W) Stack Fast Slew	Perihelion Kick	Mode 5 <u>Power</u> (W) Cruise Jupiter Flyby	<u>Power</u> ( <u>W)</u> TBD	<u>Power</u> (W) TBD	Mode 8 <u>Power</u> (W) TBD	Mode 9 <u>Power</u> (W) TBD	Mode 10 <u>Power</u> (W) TBD
Power Mode Duration (hours)						24	0.25	0.2	1.2	24					
Payload on this Element															
Instruments		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Payload Total		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Additional Elements Carried by this Ele	ment	-			-			-					_		
Probe (mass only)		5%	377.0	40%	529.4										
Sun Shield		17%	1304.9	43%	1866.1										
(none)		0%	0.0	43%	0.0										
Carried Elements Total		21%	1681.9	42%	2395.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RSDO Option>															
Spacecraft Bus				do not edit f	ormulas below t	his line, use t	the calcualtin	ons and overri	de tables ins	stead ->					
Attitude Control		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Command & Data		0%	25.5	10%	28.1	36	36	36	36	36	0	0	0	0	0
Power		3%	263.6	29%	339.2	18	18	18	18	18	0	0	0	0	0
Propulsion1 SEP1		1%	107.5	7%	114.6	26	25	26	14	26	0	0	0	0	0
Propulsion2 SEP2		58%	4601.6	3%	4758.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Propulsion3 SEP3		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Structures & Mechanisms		10%	771.3	30%	1002.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
S/C-Side Adapter		2%	126.8	30%	164.8			!			ļ!				
Cabling		4%	300.2	30%	390.2					-					
Telecom		0%	0.5	2%	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Thermal		0%	0.0	0%	0.0	1150	1150	1150	0	1150	0	0	0	0	0
Bus Total			6196.9	10%	6/98.8	1229	1229	1229	67	1229	0	U	U	U	0
Thermally Controlled Mass			7079.0	170/	6/98.8	1000	1000	1220	67	1000		0	0	0	
Spacecraft Total (Dry): CBE & WEV	170/		1215.4	CED Cont	9194.3	1229	1229	1229	6/ F 0	1229		0			
Subsystem Heritage Contingency	26%		2062.9	SEPCON	10%	529	528	529	29	529		0			
System Contingency	42070		2002.5	l		525	526	525	25	525	0	U	0	0	0
Spacecraft with Contingency	43 /0		11257	oftotal	w/o addl pld	1758	1757	1758	96	1758		0	r 0	r 0	- 0
Dropollant & Droceurant with residuals1		2%	668.5		For S/C mass =	27668.0		Dolta_\/ Sve 1	70.0	m/e	reciduals =	17.5	ka		
Propellant & Pressurant with residuals?		57%	15705.4	i i	For S/C mass =	27668.0	Г Г	Pelta-V/ Svs 2	11200.0	m/s	residuals =	457.4	kg		
Propellant & Pressurant with residuals3		0%	0.0		For S/C mass =	0.0		)elta-V_Svs 3	0.0	m/s	residuals =	00	ka		
Spacecraft Total with Contingency (We	(t)	•	27631	27631.065		0.0		icita (, eje :	0.0	1110		0.0	<b>"</b> 9		
• pade chan i chan i chan gene, (	.,										-				
Aeroshell Mass			n/a	En'	trv Svstem Dia.	0.0	m	1 1			1 _		MPV 11626.1		
Entry System Mass			n/a	- Balli	istic Coefficient	n/a	ka/m^2				1				
				1				1			1 📕	3463.4			MPV
L/V-Side Adapter			368.9	Wet Mass	for Prop Sizing	27631		BOL Power:	0.0	W	1			1315.4	
Launch Mass			28000	Dry Mass	for Prop Sizing	11257		EOL Power:	0.0	W	1				Margin
Launch Vehicle Capability			28000		28000.0						1				
						Launch C3	0				1				Cont
Launch Vehicle Margin			0.0	Miss	ion Unique LV	Contingency	0%					8163		8163	Cont
					F	airing dia., m	0								
Dry Mass Allocation: MPV			11626.1	A											CBE

# **Mission Risk Summary**

#### The design is right on the edge of infeasibility (with margins)

- It is VERY sensitive to tank mass fraction, shield mass, lsp, structures mass...
- A degradation in any of these assumptions quickly renders the design infeasible

### Liquid Hydrogen (LH2) tank

- The mass of the LH2 tank was calculated using a fixed mass tax (m\_tank = m\_fuel \* 0.39) (based on discussion with MSFC) and includes margin, so the tank CBE is backed out as m\_fuel \* 0.39 \* 0.7
- The tanks are assumed to provide primary structural support for everything else.
- This includes the mass of the insulation and cryocoolers. However, our thermal requirements are more stringent than MSFC may have been assuming, so our tax may be too low

#### **Solar Thermal Propulsion**

- We assume an Isp of 1350s, which is high, and requires a Hydrogen temperature of 3400K
- This is higher than our initial Isp assumption of 1100s, and may not be technologically achievable
- This temperature is very close to the Carbon-Carbon melting temperature of 3800K (as cited by the 2001 Thiokol paper).
- However, if the Isp is lower than about 1250s, our design does not close

### Radioisotope Thermal Generator (RTG)

- We assumed the future Segmented Modular RTG (SMRTG), currently under development
- We had to use five SMRTGs on our STP stage just to power the cryocooler, plus one on the probe
- It is unclear whether that many SMRTGs would be available, and there could be challenges with integrating them on the pad (due to their awkward positioning in our configuration)