

Geological Activity on Tidally-Heated Solar System Worlds

J. A. Rathbun (PSI, @LokiVolcano)



lo Expected:



Observed:

O **D** Ja ileo





Enceladus - plumes











Observed Temperatures

Endogenic activity at Enceladus' South Pole (Spencer et al., 2006)







R Spencer JR, Nimmo F. 2013. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 41:693–717







South polar terrain

Southern curvilinear cl₃ Central south polar csp

Spencer JR, Nimmo F. 2013. **K** Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 41:693–717









Europa



fractures & ridges



smooth plains



bands





diffuse material



chaos





Truncated older features Cold, brittle, outer ice shell Subsumption band Warmer, convecting portion of ice shell ←Cryolavas→ Liquid ocean Subducting plate denser than deeper, warmer ice Subsumption of plate into shell interior



HST observations of putative plumes



Sparks, et al. Findings

2014 March 17 (Paper 1) 2016 February 22 (Paper 2)



A second event at the same location as previous detection !!

Comparison with PPR observations

• PPR nighttime thermal anomaly in same location (E17, open filter)





Reanalysis of Galileo data



Galileo



Europa plumes/hotspot?

- Thermal model fits to best thermal data available (including ground-based) do NOT require endogenic heating
 - PPR nighttime thermal anomaly in Sparks location is cold during the daytime (Trumbo et al. 2017) → thermal inertia anomaly



Plume?

- Nighttime temperatures of Io's Pele plume deposit higher than background

 Different material
- Suggests higher thermal inertia in plume fallout
- No thermal inertia anomaly in the Jia location



Could a hotspot be hiding? (Rathbun, in prep.)

	Radius (km)	Area (km²)	Temperature (K)	Brightness
ALMA observation at 1.3 mm, Brightness in W/m/str				
Background fov	156	2.4x10 ⁴	120 (emis corr.)	0.8
Model Hotspot	10	100	200	6x10 ⁻³
PPR nighttime observation in open filter, Brightness in GW				
Background fov	45	2.0x10 ³	95	9.2
Model Hotspot	10	100	170	4.7
Model "tigerstripe"	120 km long	86	133	1.5
PPR detection limits			2-5 GW	

Geologic features on tically heated worlds

- Thermal (endogenic) sources
- Plumes
- Ridges, bands
- Cycloids
- Volcanic flows
- Cryovolcanic flows?





Q2: How do tides influence global heat flow and its variations (spatial and temporal), and how does this heat translate into specific geologic processes?

Back to Io: clearest signature of tidal heating





Assume distribution of volcanoes or volcanic output mimics heat flow

Hamilton, et al., 2013









Biggest difference between endmember models is at high latitude, where there are substantial observational biases



Heat flow only







6.0

4.5 3.0

1.5

0.0

-1.5

-3.0

Brightness Temperature Difference (K)

Discussion

- Measurements of lo's volcanic output and heat flow are getting better, but new observations would help
 - Combine ground-based and space-based thermal measurements?
- What observations are best to compare to model results?
 - On lo?
 - Largest tidal heating
 - Relatively easy to observe (spacecraft or ground-based) b/c close to Earth
 - On other Tidally heated worlds?
 - Heat flow?
 - Volcanic brightness?
 - Other?

Back-up slides

Hotspot limits from PPR data

- Rathbun et al. (2010)
- PPR would have detected a 100 km² hotspot if T > ~170 K (Sparks loc), ~140 K (Jia loc)



lo - conclusions

- Ignoring latitude variations, longitude variations are consistent with either mantle heating or asthenosperic with a 90 degree rotation
- Four-fold symmetry in longitude most consistent with combined heating case of Tackley et al. (2001)



Best PPR

Daytime temperatures

Nighttime temperatures



106

- 94 -

78

72 -



Rathbun and Spencer, 2014

 Jia location albedo ~ 0.4, thermal inertia ~ 100 mks

Albedo & thermal inertia



Sparks location – thermal model

- PPR observations at night and early-morning (3)
 - Early-morning in less sensitive filters: 27.5 (E6) & 35.5 μm
- No mid-day observations \rightarrow bad thermal model
- Trumbo et al. (2017) observed location in daytime using ALMA (1.3 mm)





Conclusions – Sparks location

- Endogenic heating not necessary
- Higher measured thermal inertias near Pwyll are consistent with higher thermal inertias in plume fallout from Io's Pele plume
- Would like to run similar models for nearby locations.
 - Trumbo et al. (2017) and Rathbun and Spencer (2014) found that thermal inertia anomaly extends beyond N. Pwyll and suggested it may be due to the Pwyll ejecta blanket
- Need data from E-THEMIS on Europa Clipper
 - Multiple wavelengths simultaneously
 - Higher spatial resolution

Conclusions – Jia location

- This area better observed by PPR than Sparks location
- Would have detected a hotspot as cool at 140 K if > 100 km2
 Enceladus-style tiger-stripe heat source < detection limits
- Not located within PPR nightime thermal anomaly
- Nothing in thermal data that suggests this location is special
 - Got good fit of thermal properties and they are similar across a broad region, so no property anomaly, either
- Seems too far from Sparks location (~1000 km) to be same plume source.

Geological Activity on **Tidally-Heated** Solar System Worlds

> J. A. Rathbun (PSI, @LokiVolcano)