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Titan, Pluto, and Mars: What is the origin of nitrogen and how has it evolved?

- Primordial (triangles) ratios represent values preserved from the Protosolar Nebula (PSN)
  - Jupiter
  - Solar Wind
  - Comets
  - Meteorites
- Evolved (circles) ratios have changed over time
  - Terrestrial planets and Titan
- Objectives
  - Determine origin of Titan’s nitrogen
  - Compare how Titan evolves with Mars
  - Can the lower limit for Pluto be used to determine the origin of its nitrogen

Adapted from Mandt et al. (2017)

We have looked at how the nitrogen ratio changes over time to study the formation conditions of Titan and Pluto
Titan: How long has methane been present in the atmosphere?

- Photochemistry rapidly destroys methane
- Titan’s interior has evolved over time
- Outgassing rates of methane may not have been constant
- Primordial $^{12}$C/$^{13}$C is limited to a narrow range

Objectives
- Determine how long the current inventory of methane has been present in the atmosphere
- Determine limits for the primordial D/H in methane
- Determine the total production of organics

The Carbon ratio in methane is a useful tool for understanding the history of outgassing activity at Titan.
Modeling the evolution of an atmosphere

\[ \frac{dn}{dt} = P - L \]

- The inventory of a constituent varies over time based on production and loss processes.
- Fractionation occurs when there is a difference in the relative production or loss rates of constituents and their isotopes.
  - e.g. Escape – The lighter isotope escapes more easily than the heavier, resulting in a lighter ratio over time.
- Fractionation changes the measured ratio in an atmosphere over geologic time scales.

\[ f = \text{fractionation factor} \]

Modeling how an atmosphere evolves requires understanding production and loss processes and how they differ between isotopes.
Outputs of modeling the evolution of the isotopic ratios

Time scale
• The time scale can be derived by integrating the production and loss equation over time for both the light and heavy isotopes

\[ \frac{dn}{dt} = P - L \]

Total Inventory
• The fractionation factor defines the total amount of a species fractionated using the Rayleigh distillation relationship

\[ \frac{n_1^0}{n_1} = \left( \frac{R}{R_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-f}} \]

Initial Ratio
• If the initial ratio is not known, but the processes are well-understood, upper and/or lower boundaries can be determined
• Determining an initial ratio has implications for the formation of Titan

Different inputs are required depending on the outputs sought from the model
Determining the fractionation factor using observations: Atmospheric Escape

- Can determine $f$ with isotope ratios at different altitude
- At Titan used observations from multiple instruments compared with model:
  - Cassini Ion Neutral Mass Spectrometer (INMS) above 1000 km
  - Cassini Composite Infrared Spectrometer (CIRS) between 200 and 400 km
  - Huygens Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer (GCMS) at the surface
- Only observation for Pluto is a lower limit on the amount of HC$^{15}$N present – Pluto is currently unconstrained

The Cassini-Huygens mission provided direct observations of diffusive fractionation
Determining the fractionation factor using observations:

**Photochemistry**

- Offset in wavelength of nitrogen photoabsorption cross sections
- Self shielding fractionation
- Creates large difference between N$_2$ and HCN

Self shielding in the photodissociation of N$_2$ leads to a much lower $^{14}$N/$^{15}$N in HCN

Mandt et al. (2012b)
Two methods available for determining photochemical fractionation

- Model the loss of $^{14}\text{N}_2$ and $^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}$ with validation
  \[ f = \frac{L_2}{L_1} \cdot \frac{1}{R} \]

- Observations of $^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ in $\text{N}_2$ and a photochemical product
  \[ f = \frac{R_{\text{reactant}}}{R_{\text{product}}} \]

- Both methods have limitations

Using models to determine fractionation is complicated, while observations can capture processes that may be missing from models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Input parameters needed to calculate photochemical fractionation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}_2$ Production (cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}_2$ Loss (cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}$ Production (cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}^{15}\text{N}$ Loss (cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ in column density of $\text{N}_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ in column density of HCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^{14}\text{N}/^{15}\text{N}$ observed in HCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$ based on HCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$ based on loss rates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Titan: Can the primordial value be terrestrial?

Mandt et al. (2014)

- **Titan**
  - Origin of nitrogen is based on the primordial ratio
  - Look for upper limit – only evaluate escape
  - Upper limit is within comet range for NH₃

- **Mars**
  - Titan can’t evolve much, but Mars did
  - Loss rate relative to column density is much larger than Titan’s

Upper limits for escape fractionation limit evolution of nitrogen at Titan but allow evolution of nitrogen at Mars
Titan: How long has methane been present in the atmosphere?

- Results depend on the relative loss rate compared to production (cryovolcanism)
- Two possible current $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ based on INMS (stars) and GCMS (circles)
- Timescales
  - Upper limit based on ratios is less than 500 Myr
  - Steady state upper limit is 1 Byr based on amount of methane currently present
- Primordial D/H higher than protosolar value (purple line) but lower than Enceladus (green line)
- Amount of carbon converted to aerosols compared to estimates of surface inventories (Lorenz et al. 2008)

If methane is outgassed at a large enough rate to create a steady state in the isotope ratio, the amount of methane in the atmosphere must increase over time.
Applying this methodology to understanding the history of Io

- Tidal heating of Galilean moons
  - Decreasing with distance from Jupiter
  - Extensive volcanism on Io
  - Europa, Ganymede and Callisto have retained substantial amounts of water ice

- Burning questions
  - Did Io form as a mostly rocky moon, or did it form with large amounts of ice like other giant planet moons?
  - How much water and other volatiles were lost from Io due to tidal heating?
  - What volatiles remain in trace quantities?

We have used isotopes to constrain how the interior of Titan has evolved over time. Can we do the same with the interior of Io?
Observable isotopes at Io: Oxygen

- **Sublimated atmosphere:**
  - Isotopes will have evolved by chemistry, escape, condensation and sublimation since first outgassed
  - Known: SO₂, S₂, O₂
  - Are there any noble gases, carbon- or nitrogen-bearing constituents?
- **Volcanic plumes:**
  - Primordial or evolved?
  - Expected: SO₂, S₂, O₂, KCl, NaCl
  - Same question
- **Isotopes to target**
  - ¹⁷O/¹⁶O and ¹⁸O/¹⁶O
  - ³²S, ³³S, ³⁴S, ³⁶S
  - Na, Cl and K isotopologues
  - Others?

Oxygen isotopic state is very process dependent. Comet observations suffer from large uncertainties and lack of ¹⁷O.
Observable isotopes at Io:

- Like Oxygen, Sulfur isotopic state is very process dependent.
- Unlike Oxygen, Sulfur mineralogical isotopic signature is mostly chemical.
- Lack of $^{33}$S and large uncertainties have hindered studies with comets.

Observations with Rosetta suggest relationship to SiC grains, but unclear if this is coincidence or related to formation of comets.

Inventory of pre-Rosetta Sulfur isotopes from Mandt et al. (2015b)
Measurement strategy for Io

Comparing plume composition with sublimated atmosphere can trace the interior composition. Altitude profiles of isotopes can directly measure effects of escape on the isotopes.

Escape fractionation

Adapted from Mandt et al. (2012a)
Conclusions

• We have learned many things from the isotope ratios measured at Titan
  - Titan’s methane has been present in the atmosphere for less than 1 billion years
  - Titan has too much nitrogen for the ratio to evolve over time
  - Titan’s nitrogen originated as ammonia in the protosolar nebula

• Pluto is unconstrained – NFDAP funded to explore with modeling

• Burning questions for Io
  - Did Io form as a mostly rocky moon, or did it form with large amounts of ice like other giant planet moons?
  - How much water and other volatiles were lost from Io due to tidal heating?
  - What volatiles remain in trace quantities?

• Proposed approach
  - Measure composition of sublimated atmosphere and volcanic plumes
  - Measure altitude dependence of isotope ratios
  - Search for trace species
Isotopes as Tracers

- Processes
  - Titan: photochemistry, escape and evolution
  - Earth: emission sources, photochemistry and transport
  - Venus: original water content
  - Mars: atmospheric loss

- Can be applied on a larger scale to study Solar System formation and evolution

Artwork credit: Elsa Mandt
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Relative Abundance [% relative to water]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₆</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C₂H₄</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂CO</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂CO</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₃OH</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₂CHO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₂CHO</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCN</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂H</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂N₂</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂CO₂</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂S</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS₂</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂CS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*relative abundances [% relative to water]*

[Graph showing relative abundances of various species.]
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Table 1.6.2: Isotope fractionation for sulfur bearing volatiles due to photodissociation using UV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Slope in $\delta^{34}S$ space</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$S</td>
<td>0.64 - 0.7</td>
<td>(Chakraborty et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS$_2$</td>
<td>0.485 ± 0.005</td>
<td>(Zmolek et al., 1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF$_6$</td>
<td>0.649 + 0.006</td>
<td>(Farquhar et al., 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Masterson et al., 2011)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Masterson et al., 2011) †</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Lin et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1.6.1: Sulfur three isotope plot.

Table 1.6.3: Isotope ratio in comets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^{35}S^+$</td>
<td>(A'Hearn et al., 2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pp</td>
<td>(Jewitt et al., 1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{34}S$</td>
<td>(Crovisier et al., 2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$^{34}S$</td>
<td>(Biver et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>etry (JCMT), † radio spectrometry (30m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stable Isotopes key to Io’s Past?

- Has Io been as active as today for billions of years?
- Io recycles itself rapidly, leaving no macroscopic record of its distant past.
- Given an assumed fractionation process, isotopic fractionation tells us fraction of mass lost.
- Lower molecular mass generally results in more fractionation for a given mass fraction lost.
- We can measure present-day mass loss with INMS and PIMS.
- We can measure several useful isotopes with INMS.
- This integrates study of mass loss with tidal heating theme.

- How does volcanic outgassing influence the isotopes and would volcanic plumes be primordial?